



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N		
09/490,630	09/490,630 01/24/2000		Andrew W Wilson	ADAPP085B	7417	
25920	7590	08/22/2003	, .			
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP			EXAMINER			
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 170				NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, THANH T	
SUNNYVAL	E, CA 94	085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2143	8	
				DATE MAILED: 08/22/2003	O	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No	Applicant(s)
09/490,630	WILSON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tammy T Nguyen	2143

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	nation (NOL) in compliance with 37 Cr N 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🔀 b) 🗀	The period for reply expires (3) months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have been 37 CFR (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See attached</u> .
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)⊡ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
•	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🔲 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	TECHNIS OF CENTER 2100
	nd Toolemark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/490,630

Art Unit: 2143



United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Detailed Office Action

1. Claims 1-22 are pending.

Response to applicants request for reconsideration

- 2. Applicant's arguments filled on August 11, 2003 have been fully considered, however they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:
- Applicants argue that Muller does not disclose usage of storage encapsulation protocol (SEP) or simple transport protocol (STP). In response to Applicant's argument, the Patent Office maintain the rejection because Muller does disclose usage of storage encapsulation protocol (SEP) or simple transport protocol (STP) as shown in col.2, lines 35-50, col.40, lines 42-55, show that it works as the same function as simple transport protocol (STP) that is configured to eliminate the overhead and inefficiencies associated with prior art transport protocol, such as TCP.
- 4. Therefore, the Examiner asserts that cited prior arts teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent claims 1, 13,19, and 20. Claims 2-12, 14-18, 21, and 22 are also rejected at least by the virtue of their dependency on independent claims. Accordingly, claims 1-22 are respectfully rejected.